# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### BOSTON REGION

In the Matter of:

PUBLIC HEARING:

RE: PROPOSED CLEAN-UP PLAN FOR NYANZA SUPERFUND SITE

IN ASHLAND, MASSACHUSETTS

Ashland High School 65 East Union Street Ashland, Massachusetts

Tuesday January 23, 2020

The above entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to Notice at 8:15 p.m.

### **BEFORE:**

LYNNE JENNINGS, EPA Section Chief
Massachusetts Superfund Section
LISA THUOT, Remedial Project Manager
ZANETTA PURNELL, Community Involvement Coordinator
EPA, Region 1
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Boston, MA 02109

### PROCEEDINGS

(8:15 p.m.)

MS. JENNINGS: So, I'd like to now start the official public hearing this evening. I'm going to start a little formally because we're going to be having this part of it recorded.

So, again, my name is Lynne Jennings. I am the EPA Section Chief for the Massachusetts Superfund Section in Boston. I will be the hearing officer for tonight's hearing on the proposed clean up plan for the Nyanza Superfund site in Ashland.

The purpose of this hearing is to formally accept oral comments on the proposed plan that was released to the public on January 14th.

As a reminder, the public comment period runs until February 14th. As noted earlier, EPA has a stenographer here tonight to record comments. We will not be responding to the comments received during the formal hearing, but will respond to them in writing after the close of our comment period.

For the record, the proposed plan includes continued operation of the two existing dense nonaqueous phase liquid extraction systems at the Nyacol and Worcester Air Conditioning parcels, continuing operation of the 41 existing vapor mitigation systems in buildings downgradient

from the site, pre design investigations to locate additional dense nonaqueous phase liquids, and if found, installation of new extraction wells, in situ chemical oxidation treatment targeted for contamination in the deep overburden and shallow weathered bedrock.

The overall remedy will also include land use controls to protect the remedy where unrestricted use standards are not achieved, long term monitoring and maintenance and periodic five year reviews to ensure the protectiveness of the remedy.

The total estimated cost for this proposed remedy is approximately \$20.5 million.

Those of you wishing to comment should have indicated a desire to do so by signing up on the sign in sheet. When called upon, please state your name, your address or your affiliation.

If there are any others who would like to enter a comment, but did not sign up, we will take your comments after folks on the sign in sheet have offered their comments.

After all of the comments have been heard, I will close the formal hearing. If you wish to submit written comments, you can hand them to ZaNetta or Lisa or myself.

Or you can mail or fax them or e-mail them to the address in the plan. At the conclusion of the hearing, please see any

of the EPA representatives if you have any questions on how to submit comments.

All oral comments that we receive tonight and the written comments that we received during the comment period will be addressed in a responsiveness summary and become part of the administrative record for the site. It will be included with the site's clean up decision.

Thank you for coming this evening. Public input is an important factor in EPA's decision making process. We will now begin the formal hearing.

I don't know if you guys are still here, but I'm going to go through the names starting with Dan Barelli.

MR. BARELLI: Yes.

MS. JENNINGS: Thank you.

MR. BARELLI: I'm going to have another commenter join me, Cara.

MS. TIRRELL: You can take it.

MR. BARELLI: You don't want to go first?

MS. TIRRELL: No.

MR. BARELLI: Thank you. My name is Dan Barelli.

I grew up in Ashland. But I currently live in Framingham.

MS. TIRRELL: Cara Tirrell. And we are here to represent Ashland's Citizen Action Committee. And we just want to talk a little bit about some of the concerns that we still have.

We all joined together to continue to petition for further work done in Ashland. We say continue, because there has been a series of citizens actions groups over decades from this town and we honor and respect their efforts by taking on the responsibility to continue to advocate for a clean Ashland.

MR. BARELLI: With this in mind, we thank the EPA for this thorough digestible document. What is found within this document is a new set of knowledge about Nyanza, specifically, on page 9, second paragraph, the first sentence states, and I quote, "EPA has determined that there are both current and future potential threats to human health."

And it goes on to specify that the source of that threat is DNAPL in the ground water known as the plant.

This is the first instance where the EPA has publicly conveyed that the plume is identified with a threat.

MS. TIRRELL: Again, on page 14, second paragraph, it states, and I quote, "although EPA has not established a threshold of toxicity or toxicity risk to identify principal threat waste, generally, where toxicity and mobility combine to pose a carcinogenic risk of 10 to 3 or greater, the source material is considered principal threat waste.

Residual DNAPL within the Nyacol WAC AOC is acting as a continuing source of contamination to the contaminated

ground water plume and is considered principal threat waste."

MR. BARELLI: So, let's go back to that first sentence. It states, "toxicity and mobility". So, toxicity is a contamination in the plume. The mobility, the mobility is us. That is, humans traversing the land on top of the plume.

MS. TIRRELL: The next paragraph on page 14 then states and I quote, "it is EPA's current judgment that the preferred alternative identified in this proposed plan is necessary to protect public health or welfare or the environment from actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances, including principal threat waste into the environment. And that treatment of the principal threat waste has been included as a component of the preferred alternative to the extent practicable."

MR. BARELLI: So, we'd like to take this moment to commend the EPA for making their findings so clearly understandable and presenting them tonight to us in this public venue.

Your findings affirm our position, which is one of continued vigilance, to ensure the safety and health of Ashland citizens.

So now, we have a few questions and statements.

MS. TIRRELL: Please consider our vigilance as our

commitment to the overall health clean up efforts. We'd like to be included as much as possible in how your ongoing work is made public to Ashland.

The first question, on page 1, you state that the pre design investigation, the PDI, will look into other sources of DNAPL beyond the current array of monitoring wells. How far and wide will you place these new monitoring wells?

MR. BARELLI: Number two, using the relatively new method of in situ chemical oxidization, ISCO, how will residents know when and where this work will be conducted?

MS. TIRRELL: Number three, what are the 21st century communication methods by which a citizen can learn and be continuously updated from your work on the site.

MR. BARELLI: Number four, is there a way that we could have a live feed of the ongoing remediation work?

MS. TIRRELL: Lastly, and in the event of an emergency of a potential release of the toxicity, either by human error during remediation, or from an extreme weather event, like a flood, etcetera, how and when will citizens know if the site has been compromised?

MR. BARELLI: So, to conclude, we simply want to know as much as possible as soon as possible. So, thank you.

MS. TIRRELL: Thank you.

MS. JENNINGS: Thank you.

Okay. Next, I'd like to call Steven Mitchell.

MR. MITCHELL: For the record, my name is Steve Mitchell. I reside at 5 Newcastle Road, --

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?

MR. MITCHELL: -- and I serve on the Ashland Board

7 | of Selectmen.

My family moved to Ashland in 1975 at the very early stages of the Nyanza clean up history. And this has been a part of our community life over the last 45 years.

As I have digested the proposed plan, vapor intrusion and mitigation are a major part of the plan narrative, including, A), the continued migration of contamination from the Nyacol/Worcester Air Conditioning AOC, into the existing contaminated ground water plume, and B), concerns regarding the current mitigation system process.

On page 9, the plan states, "the ongoing migration is an unacceptable risk to human health, in particular for indoor air quality." And then, slightly further on page 9, the plan relates that "the vapor mitigation systems were voluntary and do not address the contamination source and are not the statutory preference for obtaining a permanent solution."

Further on, the plan speaks to the exposure

pathways, the exposure assessment, and then makes the following statement. "Residual DNAPL within the Nyacol/WAC AOC is acting as a continuing source of contamination to the contaminated ground water plume and is considered principal threat waste."

I would like to be on the record advocating for serious consideration for alternative GW-5. Although, both GW-4 and GW-5 contain no active treatment for the down gradient plume and provide for monitoring only, GW-5 offers a more aggressive treatment of the source.

Consequently, GW-4 is estimated to take 275 years to achieve the target remediation goal throughout the site, while GW-5 is estimated to take 140 years. According to the plan, this will occur without active treatment in the down gradient plume.

And I realize that these decisions are difficult and that your position is difficult. Serving on the Select Board, I do appreciate and understand that.

My next statement might seem unfair, but, I would like to ask that, as the EPA considers all of our public comments that a consideration include this reflection, if you and your family lived and worked in the down gradient plume area, what option would you choose and advocate for.

So, thank you. Appreciate you being here tonight.

MS. JENNINGS: Thank you. I'm sorry, sir. Could

you repeat your name and address, I don't think it came through for the stenographer.

MR. MITCHELL: For the record, Steve Mitchell, 5
Newcastle Road. And again, I serve on the Select Board.

MS. JENNINGS: Thank you.

Next, I'd like to call Mark Dassoni.

MR. DASSONI: Thank you. And my name is Mark
Dassoni. I would like to comment saying, is it going to be
timely worthy or expeditiously, are we going to be waiting
long to have results? And to have your targets behind the
Worcester Air Conditioner and all that work. I think, it is
worth having that.

Nyanza has been the history. The plume has been the history and it's still going on. I'd like to understand, through a comment, through my comments, that there are health issues, but there are remedies.

And when you combine the two, this ought to be a compromise to understand that one may not work before the other.

So, I'm going in with this wide eyed, open mind and cautiousness saying, what would happen when the people work, they might hit something they weren't expecting. So, be careful. The health of the residents and people living there would be in their hands when EPA comes in. It would be a residential reason or a cautious optimism.

I just hope things work out. But, then again, opinions work. But, the results will show. Thank you.

MS. JENNINGS: Thank you.

I'd like to call Rob St. Germain.

MR. ST. GERMAIN: Rob St. Germain, 64 Winter

MR. ST. GERMAIN: Rob St. Germain, 64 Winter
Street here in Ashland. I am also the chair of the
Stormwater Committee. And this town is spending a lot of
money right now trying to monitor pollution from the
stormwater in town, and keep the Sudbury and the Charles
River as clean as possible.

So, I would urge you, if and when this process begins, that you do increase and enhance monitoring to ensure that the plume is not being further extended. Thank you.

MS. JENNINGS: Thank you.

Next, I'd like to call A Ginger Esty, Ginger Esty?

MS. ESTY: You've heard enough from me. Thank

you.

MS. JENNINGS: Okay. The rest of the folks that have signed in, there's been a few people who are unsure or maybe. So, I'm going to call up anybody else that would like to make a formal comment.

MR. SCHERER: Hi. I am Rob Scherer, member of the Ashland Select Board as well. And I live at 41 Bay Colony Drive.

So, I am speaking as an individual member of that board. We have not yet met to review this. I fully expect that, in line with the town manager's comments, and with Mr. Mitchell's comments, the town will be submitting a comprehensive comment on the proposed, and certainly appreciate your willingness to be flexible about the due date for the comments should that be necessary.

My particular comment is that, whatever the proposed plan we end up with implementing, that there be, given the history of the site and some of the maintenance issues, that there be strong provisions for ongoing monitoring, maintenance and evaluation of how whatever the proposed action is working. And that there be, given the changing nature of technology and best practices, that there be mechanisms in place to revisit and evaluate and potentially change what is going on depending on the effectiveness of the remedy. Thank you.

MS. JENNINGS: Thank you.

MR. ARBEITMAN: Joel Arbeitman, 28 Woodridge Lane. I think that what it appears to me is before the residents of the town, is to try to weigh in as best we are able to on the various remediation options. And I wonder, you know, when I've worked on projects where we had to go through a series of choices, we oftentimes try to quantify certain categories and come up with almost kind of a scoring matrix.

So, I saw your presentation. But it was very much in a narrative form and very difficult -- I mean, I'm not a scientist, and most of us aren't -- to really assess, you know, which would get us quicker clean up or what percentage of the clean up, or you know, and I understand, some of it is guess work or maybe even not able to be known at this time.

But, I wonder whether a scoring matrix of any kind has been created or if it could be created prior to the expiration of the comment period so that we might benefit from your expertise in trying to at least have an opportunity to weigh in on what we feel is the best option.

And I would especially like that scoring matrix to be done twice. Once including all of the factors you have already weighed in, with some type of, it gets you this, but it doesn't get you that or, you know, and score it however you would. And again, without the price tag involved. Take that out of the mix and say, okay, if you had infinite funding, this would get you more clean up, even if it is not cost effective clean up.

But, I'd like to be able to know that and understand how, you know, what role the possible funding might play in the thing.

And so, if that is something that we could get prior to, so that we might take it into consideration in

discussing this among ourselves, I think that would be very helpful. Thank you.

MR. PERRY: Hi. My name is David Perry, 222

Arrowhead Circle. I've been an Ashland resident for about

25 years.

I am or have been most recently the chairperson of the Nyanza Advisory Subcommittee, which is a subcommittee for the -- under the Board of Selectmen and not really as part of the formal comment, but just for the audience's benefit, it's a subcommittee with representation from the Board of Selectmen, the Board of Health, the Conservation Commission and then some members at large. Myself, I am a former environmental engineering project manager. So, we have a wide variety of expertise on the board, on the subcommittee.

And so, I am not representing the subcommittee.

And as a selectmen said, I'm here on my own accord. So, I mean, my comments are my own personal comments. But, to put some context of what's been going on.

The first thing I would like to do is acknowledge and recognize the pain and the history of a lot of the residents here. As we have heard, the Nyanza site is one of the most tragic Superfund sites in the nation really. And I think, we can never lose sight of that.

The good news is that we are moving towards

reducing the exposure pathways and getting towards a clean up goal. And I am pleased to see this report. I was pleased to read it. I agree that it is a pretty digestible document even for someone who might not have a real good science background.

One of the things that we were looking at on the advisory subcommittee was coming up with ways to put some similar institutional controls in terms of the use of the ground water and vapor mitigation systems as a town subcommittee and the methods and the possible ways that we could do that were somewhat limited.

So, I would say that I'm glad to see the EPA taking a more holistic approach. You've got some better tools in your toolbox to implement those kind of institutional controls and remedies for the site.

So, I'm glad to see we're moving forward and I would encourage the townspeople to still stay connected and stay involved. And we will stay involved as well.

MS. JENNINGS: Thank you.

MR. MAGNANI: Thank you. Good evening. My name is Joseph Magnani, 2 Harbor Lane. I am on the Select Board. And I was also a member of the Nyanza Study Committee as well.

I am a life long resident. The good Lord has put me here 64 and a half years. And I hope to be here a little

longer.

It's unfortunate that some of my friends, and some of my classmates aren't here as a result of them coming down with different forms of cancer as a result of them living near and around that Superfund site. I have had relatives that lived on Metcalfe Ave that had their backyard change colors whenever the dye changed or their snowflakes, different colors from whatever dye they were making that particular day. For them, thankfully, they lived to be 90 and 94 respectively.

So, what you folks are doing, what the EPA is doing and monitoring what needs to be done and what needs to be cleaned up, I thoroughly and wholeheartedly support whatever needs to be done.

You can't put a dollar value on someone's life.

And Ashland has lost too many lives to put a dollar value.

And too many people over there that are sitting on that far left side over there, that I can't even imagine what they have gone through for their loss of family members.

So, my concern would be, is that, put aside the dollar value, but get whatever needs to be done to clean up the site.

I know, Lisa, we have met several times. And you've made some great presentations in front of the Nyanza Study Board. And the plan that was done in 2016, I think

that was the last time we sat and spoke formally.

Informally, we have spoken over the phone and via e-mails.

I did notice there has been some major changes in the plume. But, my big concern was the DNAPL that is still coming out of that one site that I was just totally floored when, you know, the amounts were 55 gallon drumfuls. And that's -- there is an issue there and that needs to be resolved in some way, shape or form.

And, you know, the more sites that we can do to make sure to monitor that, the better it is for all of us down the road.

I know, Cara used the word a clean Ashland. I think that's what we all want, Cara, more than anything else. Because that would be a legacy left for our children and our grandchildren's children.

I probably will never see that day. But, this is a damn good start.

So, I appreciate anything and everything that the EPA has done and will continue to do. And we hope that the DEP steps on board with the state and continues working together. Thank you.

MS. JENNINGS: Thank you.

MS. MARGULIES: Good evening. My name is Judith Margulies. I am an Ashland resident, 53 America Boulevard. I may not be on your sign in sheet.

I want to comment about the most upgrade area that has been identified as the source of DNAPL, and that's the area that is commonly referred to as the landfill.

I wish to comment about that specifically. Many people are not aware that that is an unlined space. And having read the original documents when that was decided to be the decision as to what -- how to place the, I believe, it is a 45 ton -- 45,000 tons -- and that number just pops into my head. I can look it up, relook it up -- of contaminants, to place it under a cap similar to what we do with landfills today. But, it is unlined.

My concerns are that it was not adequately being monitored using the most recent technology. Some people believe, and I've heard this from members of the committees, that much of the contaminants is going to stay in that one location.

But yet, I believe that that is a total unknown.

That might be an educated guess. But it is no more than a guess. And that's a very big concern without the most deep monitoring of what is going on there.

That also is important because of the recent construction around the area, having read those decisions, those early decisions, the engineers, based it upon what the area was existing at that time surrounding that area. And obviously, as time has gone by, things have changed and

without continuing monitoring in a more significant way than what I believe is being done now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The last thing that I wanted to mention was, I, on occasion, have heard that individuals have purchased homes on some of the streets that may be of concern. And I would like the government, the federal government, the state government, to consider, for anyone who has purchased a home and not been given adequate disclosure, for whatever reason that may be, but that could be determined by some independent group, that those people be offered the ability to sell their homes to the government. Because, I believe that there may be individuals who were not given those disclosures. And for them to go back and, you know, implement suits to people because that was not disclosed to them in the last 10, 15, potentially even 20 years, I believe that they should have opportunities for redress. Thank you.

MS. JENNINGS: Thank you.

And seeing no more folks at the mike with further comments, I'd like to thank you all for coming this evening.

So, just remember that our public comment period for making comments closes on February 14th. If anybody has any written comments tonight, and just wants to hand them off to us, we are happy to take them.

This hearing is now officially closed.

1 (Whereupon, at 8:42 p.m., the proceedings were 2 concluded.)

3

# CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER AND TRANSCRIBER

This is to certify that the attached proceedings in the Matter of:

RE: PROPOSED CLEAN-UP PLAN FOR NYANZA SUPERFUND SITE

IN ASHLAND, MASSACHUSETTS

Place: Ashland, Massachusetts

Date: January 23, 2020

were held as herein appears, and that this is the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the notes and/or recordings taken of the above entitled proceeding.

Maryann Rooney
01/23/20

Reporter Date

Maryann Rooney 03/30/20

Transcriber Date